UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,757	7561,757 04/05/2006 David Parkinson		ON/4-33248A	1625
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 01/13/200	EXAMINER		
	INTELLECTUAL PRO	WEBB, WALTER E		
ONE HEALTH EAST HANOV	ER, NJ 07936-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,757	PARKINSON ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WALTER E. WEBB	1612		

-			
	WALTER E. WEBB	1612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, whi g date of the final rejection	chever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 November 2008. A line date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), cappeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	missal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the content	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	<u> </u>		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that the Williams reference teaches the states that Williams clearly teaches reducing infusion fro However, Williams was used to establish the extent to williams of O'Reilly et al. Because 24 hour infusions were well known administer the the compound of O'Rielly by infusing for 2	e opposite of what is relied on as tea m paclitaxel from 24 hours to 3 hou hich adjustments would be made in wn using a similar compound, the a	aching in the rejectior irs caused less side e administering the co	n. Applicant effects. mposition of
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612 /Walter E Webb/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090108